Sewage Facilities Planning Module – Component 2

Rachel and Thomas Foster Property  
176 Brush Valley Road  
College Township, Centre County, PA  
Tax Parcel: 19-004-104C

Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transmittal Letter for Sewage Facilities Planning Module</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution for plan Revision for New Land Development</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Facilities Planning Module – Component 2</td>
<td>3-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness Checklist</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soils Investigation and Percolation Test Reports</td>
<td>15-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S.G.S. Map</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Narrative</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternative Sewage Facilities Analysis</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory Project Receipt</td>
<td>27-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania Historic and Museum Commission SHPO Receipt</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage Facilities Planning Module – Component 4B – County Planning Agency Review</td>
<td>36-38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plot Plan</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER

TRANSMITTAL LETTER
FOR SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (DEP) USE ONLY

TO: Approving Agency (DEP or delegated local agency)
North Central Regional Office
208 West Third Street, Suite 101
Williamsport, PA 17701

Date 1-22-2021

Dear Sir/Madam:

Attached please find a completed sewage facilities planning module prepared by ELA Group, Inc. (Matthew R. Harlow)
Principal in Charge for Rachel and Thomas Foster Living Trust Property
a subdivision, commercial, or industrial facility located in College Township
Centre County.

Check one

☒ (i) The planning module, as prepared and submitted by the applicant, is approved by the municipality as a proposed ☐ revision ☐ supplement for new land development to its Official Sewage Facilities Plan (Official Plan), and is ☒ adopted for submission to DEP ☐ transmitted to the delegated LA for approval in accordance with the requirements of 25 Pa. Code Chapter 71 and the Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act (35 P.S. §750),

OR

☐ (ii) The planning module will not be approved by the municipality as a proposed revision or supplement for new land development to its Official Plan because the project described therein is unacceptable for the reason(s) checked below:

Check Boxes

☐ Additional studies are being performed by or on behalf of this municipality which may have an effect on the planning module as prepared and submitted by the applicant. Attached hereto is the scope of services to be performed and the time schedule for completion of said studies.

☐ The planning module as submitted by the applicant fails to meet limitations imposed by other laws or ordinances, officially adopted comprehensive plans and/or environmental plans (e.g., zoning, land use, 25 Pa. Code Chapter 71). Specific reference or applicable segments of such laws or plans are attached hereto.

☐ Other (attach additional sheet giving specifics).

Municipal Secretary: Indicate below by checking appropriate boxes which components are being transmitted to the approving agency.

☒ Resolution of Adoption ☐ Resolution of Adoption
☒ Module Completeness Checklist ☐ 3 Sewage Collection/Treatment Facilities ☒ 3a Small Flow Treatment Facilities
☒ 2 Individual and Community Onlot ☐ 3b Small Flow Treatment Facilities ☒ 4a Municipal Planning Agency Review
Disposal of Sewage ☐ 4b County Planning Agency Review

Adam Brumbaugh
Municipal Secretary (print) 1-22-2021

Signature Date
RESOLUTION FOR PLAN REVISION FOR NEW LAND DEVELOPMENT

RESOLUTION OF THE (SUPERVISORS) (COMMISSIONERS) (COUNCILMEN) of College (TOWNSHIP) (BOROUGH) (CITY), Centre COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA (hereinafter “the municipality”).

WHEREAS Section 5 of the Act of January 24, 1966, P.L. 1535, No. 537, known as the Pennsylvania Sewage Facilities Act, as Amended, and the rules and Regulations of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) adopted thereunder, Chapter 71 of Title 25 of the Pennsylvania Code, require the municipality to adopt an Official Sewage Facilities Plan providing for sewage services adequate to prevent contamination of waters of the Commonwealth and/or environmental health hazards from sewage wastes, and to revise said plan whenever it is necessary to determine whether a proposed method of sewage disposal for a new land development conforms to a comprehensive program of pollution control and water quality management, and

WHEREAS Rachel & Thomas Foster has proposed the development of a parcel of land identified as land developer

Rachel and Thomas Foster Living Trust Property and described in the attached Sewage Facilities Planning Module, and name of subdivision
proposes that such subdivision be served by: (check all that apply), ☐ sewer tap-ins, ☐ sewer extension, ☐ new treatment facility, ☒ individual onlot systems, ☐ community onlot systems, ☐ spray irrigation, ☐ retaining tanks, ☐ other, (please specify). ☒

WHEREAS, College Township finds that the subdivision described in the attached municipality Sewage Facilities Planning Module conforms to applicable sewage related zoning and other sewage related municipal ordinances and plans, and to a comprehensive program of pollution control and water quality management.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the (Supervisors) (Commissioners) (Councilmen) of the (Township) (Borough) (City) of College hereby adopt and submit to DEP for its approval as a revision to the "Official Sewage Facilities Plan" of the municipality the above referenced Sewage Facilities Planning Module which is attached hereto.

[Signature]

Secretary, College Township Board of Supervisors (Borough Council) (City Councilmen), hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the Township (Borough) (City) Resolution # R-21-06 adopted, January 22, 2021.

Municipal Address:

College Township
1481 East College Ave
State College, PA 16801
Telephone 814-231-3021
SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE

Component 2. Individual and Community Onlot Disposal of Sewage
(Return completed module package to appropriate municipality)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEP CODE #</th>
<th>CLIENT ID #</th>
<th>SITE ID #</th>
<th>APS ID #</th>
<th>AUTH ID #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This planning module component is used to fulfill the planning requirements of Act 537 for the following types of projects: (1) proposing the use of individual onlot sewage disposal systems (including individual residential spray irrigation systems (IRISI)) and except for those projects qualifying for the "exception to the requirement to revise the Official Plan" under Chapter 71, Section 71.55, (2) proposing retaining tanks (including holding tanks, privies, chemical, incinerating, recycling or composting toilets), (3) proposing municipal permitted community onlot sewage disposal systems, and (4) proposing DEP permitted individual or community large volume onlot sewage disposal systems.

This component, along with any other documents specified in the cover letter, must be submitted to the municipality with jurisdiction over the project site for review and approval. All appropriate documentation must be attached for the Sewage Facilities Planning Module package to be complete. Refer to the instructions for help in completing this component.

REVIEW FEES: Amendments to the Sewage Facilities Act established fees to be paid by the applicant for review of planning modules for land development. These fees may vary depending on the approving agency for the project (DEP or delegated local agency). Please see Section R and the instructions for more information on these fees.

NOTE: All projects must complete Sections A through I and Sections N through R. Complete Sections J, K, L and/or M if indicated. The municipality should complete Section Q if marginal conditions are present and/or if a waiver of the planning requirements is requested for the residual tract and/or if assurance of long term O & M option is required.

A. PROJECT INFORMATION (See Section A of instructions)

1. Project Name  Rachel and Thomas Foster Living Trust Property

2. Brief Project Description  Construction of a second single family dwelling on a 17 acre property.

B. CLIENT (MUNICIPALITY) INFORMATION (See Section B of instructions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Municipality Name</th>
<th>County</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>Boro</th>
<th>Twp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>College Township</td>
<td>Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Municipality Contact Individual – Last Name: Schoch
First Name: Lindsay
MI: Suffix: Principal Planner

Additional Individual Last Name: First Name
MI: Suffix: Title

Municipality Mailing Address Line 1: 1481 East College Avenue
Mailing Address Line 2: State ZIP+4
Address Last Line – City: State College
State: PA 16801
Phone + Ext.: FAX (optional)
Email (optional)
814-231-3021
C. SITE INFORMATION (See Section C of instructions)

Site (Land Development or Project) Name
Rachel and Thomas Foster Living Trust Property

Site Location Line 1
176 Brush Valley Road

Site Location Last Line – City
State College

Site Location Line 2

State PA

ZIP+4 16801

Latitude 40-47-43

Longitude 77-47-54

Detailed Written Directions to Site: Route 322 to Oak Hall exit then north on Boalsburg Road the right Linden Hall Road then immediate left on Brush Valley Road for 1/8 mile. Site will be on right.

Description of Site Property is the former "Rock Garden" greenhouse and bed/breakfast developed and constructed in early 2000’s. New residential dwelling to be located on a previously disturbed portion of the site.

Site Contact (Developer/Owner)

Last Name Foster
First Name Rachel
MI C
Suffix
Phone 303-720-5708
Ext.

Site Contact Title Site Contact Firm (if none, leave blank)
Equitable Owner

FAX

Email rachelfoster@gmail.com

Mailing Address Line 1
14512 County Road 1

Mailing Address Last Line – City
Longmont

State CO

ZIP+4 80504

D. PROJECT CONSULTANT INFORMATION (See Section D of instructions)

Last Name Harlow
First Name Matthew
MI R
Suffix RLA

Title Consulting Firm Name

Principal in Charge ELA Group, Inc.

Mailing Address Line 1
2013 Sandy Drive

Mailing Address Last Line – City
State College

State PA

ZIP+4 16803

Country USA

Email mharlow@elagroup.com

Phone 814-861-6328

Ext.

FAX 814-861-5503

E. AVAILABILITY OF DRINKING WATER SUPPLY

The project will be provided with drinking water from the following source: (Check appropriate box)

☐ Individual wells or cisterns.
☐ A proposed public water supply.
☒ An existing public water supply.

If existing public water supply is to be used, provide the name of the water company and attach documentation from the water company stating that it will serve the project.

Name of water company: College Township Water Authority
F. PROJECT NARRATIVE  (See Section F of instructions)

☒ A narrative has been prepared as described in Section F of the instructions and is attached.

The applicant may choose to include additional information beyond that required by Section F of the instructions.

G. GENERAL SITE SUITABILITY  (See Section G of attached instructions)

This section must be completed when the proposed method of sewage disposal is the use of onlot sewage disposal systems or privies. The purpose of the information provided in this section is to determine the general suitability of the site for onlot disposal of sewage. Site suitability should not be construed as approval for permit issuance on individual lots. Additional testing may be required for permit issuance.

NOTE: If one or more lots in this subdivision are planned to be served by individual residential spray irrigation systems (IRSIS), please see the specific information on IRSIS in Section G.3 of the attached instructions.

1. PLOT PLAN

The following information is to be submitted on a plot plan of the proposed subdivision or development:

a. Location of all soil profiles and percolation tests.
   i. Surface waters.

b. Slope at each test area.

c. Soil types and boundaries.
   k. Floodplain or floodprone area soils, floodways (Federal Flood Insurance Mapping).

d. Existing and proposed streets, roadways, access roads, etc.
   l. Designated open space areas.

e. Lot lines and lot sizes.
   m. Remaining acreage under the same ownership and contiguous lots.

f. Existing and proposed rights-of-way.
   n. Existing onlot or sewerage systems; pipelines, transmission lines, etc., in-use or abandoned.

g. Existing and proposed drinking water supplies for proposed and contiguous lots.
   o. Prime agricultural land.

h. Existing buildings.
   p. Orientation to North

2. RESIDUAL TRACT PLANNING WAIVER REQUEST

A waiver from sewage facilities planning ☑ is, ☒ is not requested for the residual land tract associated with this project.  (See Section H, Section Q, Component 4 and instructions for additional information).

3. SOILS INFORMATION

a. Attach copies of "Site Investigation and Percolation Test Report" (3800-FM-WSFR0290A) (formerly known as "Appendix A") form(s) for the proposed subdivision.

b. Marginal conditions for long-term onlot sewage disposal ☑ are, ☐ are not present. See marginal conditions information in Sections H and Q and in attached instructions.

c. If one or more lots in this subdivision are planned to be served by Individual Residential Spray Irrigation Systems (IRSIS), please see the specific information on IRSIS in Section G of the instructions.
4. WETLAND PROTECTION

YES NO

a. ☐ ☒ Are there wetlands in the project area? If yes, ensure these areas appear on the plot plan as shown in the mapping or through on-site delineation.

b. ☐ ☒ Are there any construction activities (encroachments, or obstructions) proposed in, along, or through the wetlands? If yes, identify any proposed encroachments on wetlands and identify whether a General Permit or a full encroachment permit will be required. If a full permit is required, address time and cost impacts on the project. Note that wetland encroachments should be avoided where feasible. Also note that a feasible alternative MUST BE SELECTED to an identified encroachment on an exceptional value wetland as defined in Chapter 105. Identify any project impacts on streams classified as HQ or EV and address impacts of the permitting requirements of said encroachments on the project.

5. PRIMARY AGRICULTURAL LAND PROTECTION

YES NO

☐ ☒ Will the project involve the disturbance of prime agricultural lands?

If yes coordinate with local officials to resolve any conflicts with the local prime agricultural land protection program. The project must be consistent with such municipal programs before the sewage facilities planning module package may be submitted to DEP.

If no, prime agricultural land protection is not a factor to this project. Proceed to G.6.

☐ ☐ Is this project consistent with the municipal prime agricultural land protection program.

6. HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT

YES NO

a. ☐ ☒ Sufficient documentation is attached to confirm that this project is consistent with DEP Technical Guidance 012-0700-001 Implementation of the PA State History Code (available online at the DEP Web site at www.depweb.state.pa.us select "subject" then select "technical guidance"). As a minimum this includes copies of the completed Cultural Resources Notice (CRN), a return receipt for its submission to the PHMC and the PHMC review letter.

H. SEWAGE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER ACTION (See Section H of attached instructions)

1. I have confirmed the information relating to the general suitability for onlot sewage disposal contained in this component. Confirmation of this information was based upon on-site verification of soil tests, general site conditions and other generally available soils information. The proposed development site:

☐ Is generally suitable for onlot disposal. This module does not constitute individual permit approval.

☒ Is marginal for long-term onlot disposal. (See instructions for information on marginal conditions).

☐ Is not generally suitable for onlot disposal. (See my attached comments regarding this determination).

☐ Cannot be evaluated for general site suitability because of insufficient soils testing.

2. The proposed development site is considered "marginal for onlot disposal" or for long-term onlot system use because one or more of the following conditions exist. (Check all that apply).

☐ Soils profile examinations which document areas of suitable soil intermixed with areas of unsuitable soils.

☐ Site evaluation which documents soils generally suitable for elevated sand mounds with some potential lots with slopes over 12%.

☐ Site evaluation which documents soils generally suitable for in-ground systems with some potential lots with slopes in excess of 20%.

☐ Lot density of more than 1 Residential Dwelling Unit/acre.

☒ Proposed use of a community onlot disposal system or system serving commercial, industrial or institutional uses.
3. Residual Tract Facilities (For use only when there is an existing onlot disposal system on the residual tract)
   - I have inspected the lot on which the existing building and existing onlot disposal system is located and have concluded, based on soils mapping or soils evaluation, permit information or site inspection that the long-term sewage disposal needs of this site and the building currently served can be met. (Required)
   - I further acknowledge that no violations of the Sewage Facilities Act are known to me or have become apparent as a result of my site inspection. No inferences regarding future performance of the existing onlot disposal system should be drawn from this acknowledgement. (Required)
   - A brief description and sketch of the existing system and site is attached. (Optional)

Signature of Certified Sewage Enforcement Officer having jurisdiction in municipality where development is proposed

Certification # 03994 12/18/20

I. ALTERNATIVE SEWAGE FACILITIES ANALYSIS (See Section I of attached instructions)

This analysis consists of a narrative that will support the chosen sewage disposal method by comparing it to methods already in use in the area or to any other available method. Attach the narrative to the package and title it Alternative Analysis. The narrative should describe:

1. the chosen sewage disposal method, and whether the method is interim (to be replaced within 5 years) or ultimate (will serve the development beyond 5 years). Also provide the number of lots or EDU's that will be served.

I. ALTERNATIVE SEWAGE FACILITIES ANALYSIS (Continued) (See Section I of attached instructions)

2. the types of land uses adjacent to the project area (agricultural, residential, commercial etc.) and the type of sewage disposal method serving each of those land uses.

3. if the sewage facilities described in (2) are in need of improvement due to high rates of onlot malfunction or overloaded public sewers.

4. the sewage disposal method indicated for the development area in the municipality's Official Sewage Facilities Plan. (Such as: onlot disposal systems, public sewers, etc.).

5. existing and/or proposed sewage management program(s) in the area and/or any other municipal options necessary to satisfy the requirements of section(s) 71.72 or 71.73 including the provisions of the selected option.

6. potential alternative sewage disposal methods that are available for the project.

7. why the proposed disposal method was chosen over the alternative methods discussed.

8. who will be the owner of the facility, and who will be responsible for operation and maintenance of the facility.

9. any other information that the developer feels will support the chosen disposal method.

Complete the following sections (J, K, L and/or M) if indicated □

If none are indicated, go directly to Section N.

□ J. PROTECTION OF RARE, ENDANGERED OR THREATENED SPECIES
(See Section J of instructions)

Check one:

☒ The "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Environmental Review Receipt" resulting from my search of the PNDI database and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are attached.

☐ A completed "Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) Project Planning & Environmental Review Form," (PNDI Form) available at www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us, and all required supporting documentation is attached.
I request DEP staff to compete the required PNDI search for my project. I realize that my planning module will be considered incomplete upon submission to the Department and that the DEP review will not begin, and that processing of my planning module will be delayed, until a "PNDI Project Environmental Review Receipt" and all supporting documentation from jurisdictional agencies (when necessary) is/are received by DEP.

"Applicant or Consultant Initials"
K. PERMEABILITY TESTING  (See Section K of attached instructions)
☐  The information required in Section K of the instructions is attached.

L. PRELIMINARY HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY  (See Section L of attached instructions)
☐  The information required in Section L of the instructions is attached.

M. DETAILED HYDROGEOLOGIC STUDY  (See Section M of attached instructions)
☐  The information required in Section M of the instructions is attached.

N. RETAINING TANKS  (See Section N of attached instructions)

The term "Retaining Tank" includes holding tanks and privies, as well as, chemical, incinerating, recycling, and composting toilets. Check the appropriate box.

☐ Yes  ☒ No Does this new land development project propose either interim or long-term use of retaining tanks?
   If yes, complete the remainder of Section N.
   If no, completion of the remainder of Section N is not required. Proceed to Section O.

What types of retaining tanks are proposed? Check all that apply.
☐ Holding Tank  ☐ Privy  ☐ Chemical  ☐ Incinerating  ☐ Recycling  ☐ Composting

1. Holding Tanks – are only to be used in new land development as an interim sewage disposal method and only for a period of time determined by DEP. A replacement sewage disposal method is required and an implementation schedule for that replacement method must be developed. Local ordinances must also be in place to provide for the maintenance of the tanks. Complete a. and b. below. For exceptions to these requirements see Chapter 71, Section 71.63 (Retaining Tanks).

   a. The following questions will help determine if a holding tank can be used.

   1) ☐ Yes  ☐ No Does the Official Sewage Facilities plan or revision provide for replacement of the tanks by adequate sewage services?

   2) ☐ Yes  ☐ No Does the Official Sewage Facilities Plan or revision include financial assurances for the implementation of the replacement method?

      If yes, what is the replacement sewage disposal method?

      Method __________________________

      If no, holding tanks may not be used.

   b. Chapter 72 requires that the municipality, sewer authority or other DEP approved entity with responsibility over the holding tanks have in place ordinances, regulations or restrictions established to maintain the tanks as outlined in Chapter 71, Section 71.63(c)(3). Attach documentation that the responsible agency has developed these ordinances or restrictions. These projects must also complete Part 3 below (Retaining Tank Pumping and Content Disposal).

2. Privies/Chemical Toilets
Projects that propose privies as the method of sewage disposal must complete a, b and c below. For exceptions to these requirements see Chapter 71, Section 71.63 (Retaining Tanks).

   a. Complete Section G of this Component.

   b. The municipality, sewer authority, management agency or other DEP approved entity with responsibility over the site must have ordinances, regulations or restrictions established that assume responsibility for the removal of a privy and installation of an approved onlot sewage disposal system when water under pressure is provided to that lot. Attach a copy of these ordinances, regulations or restrictions.
c. These projects must also complete Part 3 below (Retaining Tank Pumping and Content Disposal).

**N. RETAINING TANKS** cont'd. (See Section N of attached instructions)

3. Retaining Tank Pumping and Content Disposal

a) Name of Retaining Tank Cleaner ____________________________ (This can be the municipality or a contracted cleaner)
Address ____________________________
Telephone Number ____________________________

b) Name of Disposal Site ____________________________
Type of treatment facility ____________________________
NPDES or Land Disposal permit number ____________________________
County ____________________________ Municipality ____________________________

Attach letter of agreement with the proposed disposal site verifying adequate capacity for disposal needs. Retaining tank wastes must be disposed of at a DEP permitted facilities or sites.

c) A municipality, sewer authority, or sewage management agency may delegate or contract for the collection and disposal of retaining tank contents, except that the ultimate responsibility for the proper collection and disposal of the contents shall remain with the municipality, authority or agency.

**O. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT** (See Section O of attached instructions)

This section must be completed to determine if the applicant will be required to publish certain facts about the project in a newspaper of general circulation in accordance with Chapter 71, Section 71.53(d)(6) to provide a chance for the general public to comment on proposed new land development projects. This notice may be provided by the applicant or the applicant’s agent, the municipality or the local agency by publication in a newspaper of general circulation within the municipality affected. Where an applicant or an applicant’s agent provides the required notice for publication, the applicant or applicant’s agent shall notify the municipality or local agency and the municipality and local agency will be relieved of the obligation to publish. The required content of the publication notice are found in Section O of the instructions.
To complete this section, each of the following questions must be answered with a “yes” or “no”. Newspaper publication is required if any of the following are answered “yes”. Check all boxes that apply.

### Yes  No

1. ☐ ☒ Does the project propose the construction of a sewage treatment facility?
2. ☐ ☒ Will the project change the flow at an existing sewage treatment facility by more than 50,000 gallons per day?
3. ☐ ☒ Will the project result in a public expenditure for the sewage facilities portion of the project in excess of $100,000?
4. ☐ ☒ Will the project lead to a major modification of the existing municipal administrative organizations within the municipal government?
5. ☐ ☒ Will the project require the establishment of new municipal administrative organizations within the municipal government?
6. ☐ ☒ Will the project result in a subdivision of 50 lots or more?
7. ☐ ☒ Does the project involve a major change in established growth projections?
8. ☐ ☒ Does the project involve a different land use pattern than that established in the municipality’s Official Sewage Facilities Plan?
9. ☐ ☒ Does the project involve the use of large volume onlot sewage disposal systems (Flow > 10,000 gpd)?
10. ☐ ☒ Does the project require resolution of a conflict between the proposed alternative and consistency requirements contained in Chapter 71.21(a)(5)(i), (ii), (iii)?

### O. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION REQUIREMENT  (Continued)

11. ☐ ☒ Will sewage facilities discharge into high quality or exceptional value waters?

☐ Attached is a copy of:
☐ the public notice,
☐ all comments received as a result of the notice,
☐ the municipal response to these comments.

☐ No comments were received. A copy of the public notice is attached.

### P. FALSE SWEARING STATEMENT  (See Section P of attached instructions)

The individual performing the tests and field evaluations necessary to complete Section G must provide the information below and sign the false swearing statement found to the right.

![Signature]

Name (Print)

**Cory Warner**

Title

**SEO**

Address

2643 Gateway Dr.
STATE COLLEGE
PA

Telephone Number

(814) 231-3057

I verify that the soils information statements made in this component are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements in this component are made subject to the penalties of 18 PA C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

![Signature]

Date

12/18/20

Check One:

☐ The individual conducting these tests is a Sewage Enforcement Officer authorized to perform this work under a fee schedule established by the municipality.

☐ The individual conducting these tests is not a Sewage Enforcement Officer employed by the local agency in which this development is located.

The individual completing the rest of the component must provide their name, title, address, telephone number, and signature.
number and sign the false swearing statement found to the right.

Matthew R. Harlow
Name (Print)

Principal in Charge
Title

ELA Group, Inc., 2013 Sandy Dr., Suite 103, State College
Address

814-861-6328
Telephone Number

belief. I understand that false statements in this component are made subject to the penalties of 18 PA C.S.A. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

☐ A waiver of the planning requirements is requested for the residual tract of this subdivision. The requirements of Section G.2 of the instructions have been met.

Matthew
Signature

November 30, 2020
Date
Q. **MUNICIPAL ACTIONS** (Marginal conditions, Residual Tract Waiver and/or O&M option)  
   (See Section Q of attached instructions)

This section is to be completed by the municipality if marginal conditions have been identified on the project site and/or if a waiver of the planning requirements has been requested for the residual tract of the subdivision and/or if an assurance of long term operation and maintenance is required by Section 71.72. If none of these conditions are met, do not complete this section.

1. ☒ The proposed development has been identified in Section G and/or Section H as having marginal conditions or other concerns for the long-term use of onlot sewage systems. The municipality has selected the following method of providing long-term sewage disposal to this subdivision: (Check one)
   - ☒ Provision of a sewage management program meeting the minimum requirements of Chapter 71, Section 71.73
   - □ Replacement area testing
   - □ Scheduled replacement with sewerage facilities
   - □ Reduction of the density of onlot systems
   - □ The justification required in Section Q of the instructions is attached.

2. □ A **waiver** of the planning requirements for the residual tract of this subdivision has been requested.
   
The municipality acknowledges acceptance of this proposal and requests a waiver of the sewage facilities planning requirements for the residual tract designated on the subdivision plot plan. Our municipal officials accept full responsibility now and in the future to identify any violation of this waiver and to submit to the approving agency any required sewage facilities planning for the designated residual tract should a violation occur or construction of a new sewage-generating structure on the residual tract of the subdivision be proposed. We understand that such planning information may require municipal officials to be responsible for soil testing and other environmental assessments for the residual tract in the future.

3. □ The **option** selected to assure long-term proper operation and maintenance, required by Title 25, PA Code, Section 71.72, for the proposed DEP permitted non-municipal sewage facility or local agency permitted community onlot sewage system is clearly identified and attached.

[Signatures and addresses]

R. **PLANNING MODULE REVIEW FEE** (See Section R of attached instructions)

The Sewage Facilities Act establishes a fee for the DEP planning module review. DEP will calculate the review fee for the project and invoice the project sponsor OR the project sponsor may attach a self-calculated fee payment to the planning module prior to submission of the planning package to DEP. (Since the fee and fee collection procedures may vary if a "delegated local agency" is conducting the review, the project sponsor should contact the "delegated local agency" to determine these details.) Check the appropriate box.

□ I request the DEP calculate the review fee for my project and send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand the Department’s review of my project will not begin until the Department receives the correct review fee from me for the project.
R. PLANNING MODULE REVIEW FEE cont'd. (See Section R of attached instructions)

☒ I have calculated the review fee for my project using the formula found below and the review fee guidance in the instructions. I have attached a check or money order in the amount of $60.00 payable to "Commonwealth of PA, DEP". Include DEP code number on check. I understand the Department will not begin review of my project unless it receives the fee and determines the fee is correct. If the fee is incorrect, the Department will return my check or money order, send me an invoice for the correct amount. I understand the Department's review will NOT begin until I have submitted the correct fee.

☐ I request to be exempt from the DEP planning module review fee because this planning module creates only one new lot and is the only lot subdivided from a parcel of land as that land existed on December 14, 1995. I realize that subdivision of a second lot from this parcel of land shall disqualify me from this review fee exemption. I am furnishing the following deed reference information in support of my fee exemption.

County Recorder of Deeds for County
Deed Volume Book Number
Page Number Date Recorded

Formula:

# 2 Lots (or EDUs) $30.00 $60.00

Note:
(1) To calculate the review fee for any project, use the number of lots created or the whole number of project equivalent dwelling units (EDU), (whichever is greater) in the above formula.

(2) When using the number of lots, include only the number of lots being proposed when calculating the review fee. Do not include any "Residual Land Parcel/Lot".

(3) In all projects, the minimum sewage flow per lot is equal to 400 gallons per day (GPD) and represents a generic three-bedroom house on each lot. Projects that knowingly propose houses larger than the generic three-bedroom unit allow for the increased sewage flows from these larger units by adding 100 gallons per day for each additional bedroom in the house to this initial 400 GPD figure. The resulting project flow is in excess of the minimum 400 GPD for each lot created and must be converted into equivalent dwelling units (EDU) in order to correctly calculate the review fee. See note 4.

(4) To determine the total number of EDUs for a project, first determine the total project flow by adding together the flow from each proposed lot. Divide this total project flow by 400 GPD and, if it is greater than the number of lots being proposed, enter this greater figure in the above formula.

Matthew R. Harlow, Consultant for Owner
Developer Name (Print)

Signature Date 11-30-20

STOP - CALL BEFORE YOU DIG!
Pennsylvania Law Requires Three Working Days Notice
Pennsylvania One Call System, Inc.
1-800-242-1776
COMPLETENESS CHECKLIST

The individual completing the component should use the checklist below to assure that all items are included in the planning module package. The municipality should confirm that the required items have been included within 10 days of receipt, and if complete, sign and date the checklist.

ALL ONLOT/RETAINING TANK PROPOSALS

☒ Name and address of land development project
☒ USGS 7.5 minute topographic map with the development area plotted
☒ Project narrative
☒ Letter of intent to serve the project from the public water supplier (if applicable)
☒ Alternative analysis narrative
☐ Proof of public notification (if applicable)
☒ Plot plan of project with all required information
☒ A Site Investigation and Perculation Test Report forms for each soil profile examination and percolation test performed
☐ Preliminary Hydrogeology (if applicable)
☐ Permeability Testing (if applicable)
☐ Detailed Hydrogeology (if applicable)
☒ Sewage Enforcement Officer’s signature
☒ Soils information preparer’s signature
☒ Completed Component 4 (Planning Agency Review) for each existing planning agency and health department

Projects proposing holding tanks or privies are required to provide the following additional information.

HO LDING  TANKS

☐ Copies of all ordinances, regulations, and/or restrictions governing holding tank maintenance
☐ Copy of the replacement method implementation schedule
☐ Copy of the financial assurances description for the replacement sewage disposal method
☐ Name of the tank cleaner/hauler
☐ Name and permit number of the disposal site
☐ Disposal site approval for holding tank contents disposal

PRIVIES

☐ Site Investigation and Perculation Test Report forms for all soil profiles and percolation tests
☐ Copies of ordinances, regulations, and/or restrictions for replacement of privies
☐ Disposal site approval for retaining tank contents disposal

MUNICIPAL ACTION

☒ Component 2, with SEO signature
☒ Component 4, planning agency comments and responses to those comments
☐ Proof of public notification
☐ Comments and responses generated by public notification
☒ Transmittal letter

[Signature of Municipal Official]

1-22-2021
Date Submittal Determined Complete
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER

SITE INVESTIGATION AND PERCOLATION
TEST REPORT FOR ONLOT DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Application No. __________________________________________ Municipality ______________________ County ______________________

Site Location 176 Brush Valley Road ___________ TP# 1-20 Subdivision Name ______________________

□ SUITABLE Soil Type □ Ha-Op □ Slope 4 % Depth to Limiting Zone 22" DOP □ Ave. Perc. Rate 6.61

□ UNSUITABLE □ Mottling □ Seeps or Ponded Water □ Bedrock □ Fractures □ Coarse Fragments

□ Perc. Rate □ Slope □ Unstabilized Fill □ Floodway □ Other

SOILS DESCRIPTION:
Soils Description Completed by: Cory M. Warner Date: 10/12/2020

Inches

0 TO 6 10 YR 3/4 Dark Yellowish Brown; Silt Loam; Granular; Very Friable

6 TO 22 DOP 10 YR 5/8 Yellowish Brown; Silt Loam; Granular; Friable 10% R.F.

Fractured Limestone Bedrock @ 22"

PERCOLATION TEST:
Percolation Test Completed by: Jonathan T. Long Date: 10/14/2020

Weather Conditions: □ Below 40°F □ 40°F or above □ Dry □ Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 hours)

Soil Conditions: □ Wet □ Dry □ Frozen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>***</th>
<th>Reading No. 1: 10 / 30</th>
<th>Reading No. 2: 3 1/2</th>
<th>Reading No. 3: 3 1/4</th>
<th>Reading No. 4: 2 7/8</th>
<th>Reading No. 5: 2 7/8</th>
<th>Reading No. 6: 2 5/8</th>
<th>Reading No. 7: 2 5/8</th>
<th>Reading No. 8: -</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>3 1/4</td>
<td>2 7/8</td>
<td>2 7/8</td>
<td>2 5/8</td>
<td>2 5/8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>1 3/4</td>
<td>1 3/8</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>1 5/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>4 3/8</td>
<td>4 1/8</td>
<td>4 1/8</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>3 5/8</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>3 1/2</td>
<td>3 3/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>4 3/4</td>
<td>4 5/8</td>
<td>4 5/8</td>
<td>4 3/8</td>
<td>4 3/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>1 3/4</td>
<td>1 5/8</td>
<td>1 5/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30-minute presoak? Yes, use 30-minute interval; No, use 10-minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 5/8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 5/8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/8</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4 3/8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>16 Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1 5/8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>16 Inch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF MIN / IN ⇒ 39.7 = 6.61

TOTAL NO. OF HOLES ⇒ 6

The information provided is the true and correct result of tests conducted by me, performed under my personal supervision, or verified in a manner approved by DEP.

(S) Cory M. Warner
Sewage Enforcement Officer
SITE INVESTIGATION AND PERCOLATION
TEST REPORT FOR ONLOT DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Application No. ___________________________ Municipality College _____________ County Centre ____________

Site Location 176 Brush Valley Road TP# 2-20 Subdivision Name ____________

☐ SUITABLE ☐ UNSUITABLE

☐ Soil Type Ha-Op Slope 2.5% Depth to Limiting Zone 23" DOP Ave. Perc. Rate ______

☐ Mottling ☐ Seeps or Ponded Water ☐ Bedrock ☐ Fractures ☐ Coarse Fragments

☐ Perc. Rate ☐ Slope ☐ Unstabilized Fill ☐ Floodway ☐ Other ____________

SOILS DESCRIPTION:
Soils Description Completed by: Cory M. Warner Date: 10/12/2020 ____________

Inches Description of Horizon

0 TO 7 10 YR 3/6 Dark Yellowish Brown; Silt Loam; Granular; Very Friable

7 TO 23 DOP 10 YR 5/8 Yellowish Brown; Silt Loam; Weak Sbk; Friable 5% R.F.

Fractured Limestone Bed @ 23"

PERCOLATION TEST:
Percolation Test Completed by: _____________________________ Date: ____________

Weather Conditions: ☐ Below 40°F ☐ 40°F or above ☐ Dry ☐ Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 hours)
Soil Conditions: ☐ Wet ☐ Dry ☐ Frozen ____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th><em><strong>Reading Interval</strong></em></th>
<th>Reading No. 1: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 2: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 3: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 4: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 5: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 6: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 7: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 8: Inches of drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30-minute presoak? Yes, use 30-minute interval; No, use 10-minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17&quot; Min Inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17&quot;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF MIN / IN → _______ = _______

TOTAL NO. OF HOLES → _______

☐ White - Local Agency ☐ Pink - Local DEP Office ☐ Yellow - Applicant
SITE INVESTIGATION AND PERCOLATION TEST REPORT FOR ONLOT DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Application No. ____________________ Municipality ____________________ College ____________________ County ____________________

Site Location 176 Brush Valley Road TP# 3-20 Subdivision Name ____________________

SUITABLE Soil Type Ha-Op Slope % Depth to Limiting Zone 24" Ave. Perc. Rate 4.76

□ Unsuitable □ Mottling □ Seeps or Ponded Water □ Bedrock □ Fractures □ Coarse Fragments

□ Perc. Rate □ Slope □ Unstabilized Fill □ Floodway □ Other

SOILS DESCRIPTION:
Soils Description Completed by: Cory M. Warner Date: 10/12/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Description of Horizon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 TO 8</td>
<td>10 YR 3/4 Dark Yellowish Brown; Silt Loam; Granular; Very Friable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 TO 24</td>
<td>10 YR 5/8 Yellowish Brown; Silt Loam; Weak Sbk; Friable 5% R.F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td>Fractured Limestone Bed @ 24&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>______</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCOLATION TEST:
Percolation Test Completed by: Jonathan T. Long Date: 10/14/2020

Weather Conditions: □ Below 40°F □ 40°F or above □ Dry □ Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 hours)

Soil Conditions: □ Wet □ Dry □ Frozen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>***</th>
<th>Reading Interval</th>
<th>Reading No. 1: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 2: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 3: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 4: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 5: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 6: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 7: Inches of Drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 8: Inches of Drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>2 7/8</td>
<td>1 3/4</td>
<td>2 1/8</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>4 1/8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>3 3/8</td>
<td>3 2 5/8</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>2 3/4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>1 1/8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>10 / 30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td>3 1/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30-minute presoak? Yes, use 30-minute interval; No, use 10-minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 7/8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>18 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 5/8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>18 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>18 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 1/8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>18 &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18 &quot; Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>18 &quot; Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL OF MIN / IN</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>4.76</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL NO. OF HOLES</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

☐ White - Local Agency ☐ Pink - Local DEP Office ☐ Yellow - Applicant

The information provided is the true and correct result of tests conducted by me, performed under my personal supervision, or verified in a manner approved by DEP.

(S) Cory M. Warner
Sewage Enforcement Officer
SITE INVESTIGATION AND PERCOLATION TEST REPORT FOR ONLOT DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Application No. 
Municipality 
County 

Site Location 
Subdivision Name 

SUITABLE
- Soil Type: 
- Slope: 
- Depth to Limiting Zone: 
- Ave. Perc. Rate: 

UNSUITABLE
- Mottling
- Seeps or Pooled Water
- Bedrock
- Fractures
- Coarse Fragments
- Perc. Rate
- Slope
- Unstabilized Fill
- Floodplain
- Other

SOILS DESCRIPTION:
Soils Description Completed by: 

Inches

0 TO 9

9 TO 11

11 TO 43

43 TO

Excessive Limestone Fragments

Description of Horizon

4.4, Brx, Silm, <5% chert, Med. Granular, Very Friable

4.4 - 4.6, Brx, Silm, <5% chert, Fine Granular, Friable

Red-Bran, Silcl, 5% ch, Fine, SAB, Few Mag. Stains

S1 Firm

PERCOLATION TEST:
Percolation Test Completed by: 

Date: 4-25-03

Weather Conditions: 

Dry

40°F or above

Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 hours)

Wet

Soil Conditions: 

Dry

Frozen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reading Interval

Reading No. 1: 

Reading No. 2: 

Reading No. 3: 

Reading No. 4: 

Reading No. 5: 

Reading No. 6: 

Reading No. 7: 

Reading No. 8: 

**Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30-minute percolation test? Yes, use 30-minute interval; No, use 10-minute interval.**

Calculation of Average Percollation Rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 1/2 &quot;</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 3/8 &quot;</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 7/8 &quot;</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 1/2 &quot;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2 3/4 &quot;</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 &quot;</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Inch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF MIN / IN →

TOTAL NO. OF HOLES →

The information provided is the true and correct result of tests conducted by me, performed under my personal supervision, or verified in a manner approved by DEP.

(S) Charles F. Herr, Jr.
Sewage Enforcement Officer
### Site Investigation and Percolation Test Report for Onlot Disposal of Sewage

**Application No.**

**Municipality**

**County**

**Site Location**

**Subdivision Name**

**Soil Type**

**Slope**

**Depth to Limiting Zone**

**Ave. Perc. Rate**

**SOILS DESCRIPTION:**

Soils Description Completed by: CHARLES F. HERR JR.

Description of Horizon:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 TO 6</td>
<td>Brown, Silty, 5% chert, Mod. Granular, Very Friable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 TO 15</td>
<td>Yellow-Brown, Silty, 5% chert, Fine, Silt, Friable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 TO 29</td>
<td>Red-Brown, Silty, 10% chert, Fine, Silt, Few Mag. Stains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;29 TO</td>
<td>Excessive Limestone Fragments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PERCOLATION TEST:**

Percolation Test Completed by: D. HERR

Date: 4-25-03

Weather Conditions:

- [x] Below 40°F
- [ ] 40°F or above
- [ ] Dry
- [ ] Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 hours)

Soil Conditions:

- [x] Wet
- [ ] Dry
- [ ] Frozen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1 5/8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3 3/4</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2 7/8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 1/6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2 1/2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF MIN / IN →

TOTAL NO. OF HOLES →

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

The information provided is the true and correct result of tests conducted by me, performed under my personal supervision, or verified in a manner approved by DEP.

(S) Sewage Enforcement Officer

Local Agency
SITE INVESTIGATION AND PERCOLATION
TEST REPORT FOR ONLOT DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Application No. __________________________  Municipality __________________________
Site Location ____________________________  Subdivision Name __________________________
SUITABLE □ Soil Type □ Ha-Op □ Slope ______ % Depth to Limiting Zone ______ inches
□ Unsuitable □ Motting □ Seeps or Ponded Water □ Bedrock □ Fractures □ Coarse Fragments
□ Perc. Rate □ Slope □ Unstabilized Fill □ Floodplain □ Other __________________________

SOILS DESCRIPTION:
Soils Description Completed by: __________________________  Date: 4-10-03
Inches

0 TO 6

6 TO 19
Red-Bm, Silt, 5% chert, Med. SAB, Few Map stains, SI Firm.

19 TO 28
Stony Ch. small Limestone, 40-50% CE, Fine SAB, Friable.

>28 TO 1
Excessive Limestone Fragments

PERCOLATION TEST:
Percolation Test Completed by: __________________________  Date: 4-25-03
Weather Conditions: □ Below 40°F  □ 40°F or above  □ Dry  □ Rain, Sleet, Snow (last 24 hours)
Soil Conditions: □ Wet  □ Dry  □ Frozen

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Reading Interval</th>
<th>Reading No. 1: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 2: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 3: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 4: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 5: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 6: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 7: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 8: Inches of drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>5 1/8</td>
<td>4 1/2</td>
<td>4 1/2</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>5 3/8</td>
<td>4 5/8</td>
<td>3 1/4</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td>4 5/8</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>37 1/8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3 3/8</td>
<td>1 3/8</td>
<td>4 1/4</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>2 3/8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>3 7/8</td>
<td>3 1/4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td>3 1/8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>2 3/8</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td>2 1/4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30-minute presoak? Yes, use 30-minute interval; No, use 10-minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4 1/4&quot;</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>3 7/8&quot;</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>3 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1 3/4&quot;</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2 7/8&quot;</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>Min Inch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2 1/8&quot;</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF MIN / IN -> 144.8 = 8.1
TOTAL NO. OF HOLES -> 18

The information provided is the true and correct result of tests conducted by me, performed under my personal supervision, or verified in a manner approved by DEP.

(S) __________________________
Sewage Enforcement Officer

Local Agency
SITE INVESTIGATION AND PERCOLATION TEST REPORT FOR ONLOT DISPOSAL OF SEWAGE

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THIS FORM ARE LOCATED ON THE REVERSE SIDE

Application No. ______________________ Municipality ______________________ County ______________________
Site Location ______________________ Subdivision Name ______________________
SUITABLE (PIT) ______________________ Soil Type ______________________ Slope ______________________ Depth to Limiting Zone ______________________ Ave. Perc. Rate ______________________
UN SUITABLE ______________________ Mottling _________________ Seeps or Ponded Water _________________ Bedrock _________________ Fractures _________________ Coarse Fragments ______________________
Perc. Rate _________________ Slope _________________ Unstabilized Fill _________________ Floodplain _________________ Other ______________________

SOILS DESCRIPTION:
Soils Description Completed by: ______________________ Date: ____________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inches</th>
<th>Description of Horizon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0 TO 6</td>
<td>Bna, Silm, 5%-chert, Coarse Granular, Very Friable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 TO 22</td>
<td>Red-Bra, Silc, 40-50% Limestone fragments, Med. SAB, S1 Firm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 22 TO</td>
<td>Excessive Limestone Fragments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PERCOLATION TEST:
Percolation Test Completed by: ______________________ Date: ____________

Weather Conditions: ______________________ Soil Conditions: ______________________

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Reading Interval</th>
<th>Reading No. 1: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 2: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 3: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 4: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 5: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 6: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 7: Inches of drop</th>
<th>Reading No. 8: Inches of drop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10/30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Water remaining in the hole at the end of the final 30-minute presoak? Yes, use 30-minute interval; No, use 10-minute interval.

Calculation of Average Percolation Rate:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole No.</th>
<th>Drop during final period</th>
<th>Perc. Rate as Minutes/Inch</th>
<th>Depth of Hole</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOTAL OF MIN / IN → ______________________
TOTAL NO. OF HOLES → ______________________

The information provided is the true and correct result of tests conducted by me, performed under my personal supervision, or verified in a manner approved by DEP.

(S) ______________________
Sewage Enforcement Officer

Local Agency
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
BUREAU OF CLEAN WATER

VERIFICATION OF PRIOR TESTING

Note: Please refer to 25 Pa. Code § 72.26, Denial of Permits, before completing this form.

Applicant's Name: Foster

1740 Brush Valley Road, Charles Herr

Municipality: Centre

Subdivision Name: Thomas Stevenson

Lot No.: 4/18/03

Date of prior test: 4/16/03

Name of Sewage Enforcement Officer (SEO) conducting/observing prior test:

SECTION I: CERTIFICATION AND TEST RESULTS

1. Prior to an on-site inspection of the conditions on the property, the following must be verified:
   a. The SEO conducting or observing prior testing was certified at the time the tests were completed;
   b. The lot in question has not been cited in a decertification/suspension notice, written notice to the municipality or in any evaluation report represented to the municipality indicating improper or incorrect soils testing by prior SEOS;
   c. Test data was recorded and is available in sufficient quantity and detail to be interpreted by others in order to determine the presence of an identified limiting zone and average percolation rate.

   IF ANY OF THE CONDITIONS ABOVE CANNOT BE VERIFIED, RETESTING OF THE SITE IS REQUIRED.

   IF ALL OF THE CONDITIONS ABOVE WERE PROPERLY VERIFIED, GO ON TO SECTION II.

SECTION II: ON-SITE VERIFICATION

1. Does the site display any of the following characteristics?
   a. Slopes in excess of 25% ☐
   b. Presence of rock outcrops ☐
   c. Floodway, floodplain or floodprone soils ☐
   d. Evidence of filling or scraping on the site of the system ☐
   e. Evidence of more tests than reported ☐

2. Slope as listed on the original test: 3% ☐
   Slope as measured on site: ☐

3. Will the isolation distances encroach on adjacent properties? ☐

4. Is there insufficient area at the absorption site to accommodate the bed or trenches, as designed? ☐

5. Are there any obvious changes in the lot which would make the prior tests suspect? ☐

SECTION II: ON-SITE VERIFICATION

6. Are there any observable conditions on the lot which would contradict the soils information provided? ☐

7. Was the soil probe performed more than ten (10) feet from the proposed area? ☐

8. Was the percolation test performed at a location other than the proposed area? ☐

IF ANY OF THE QUESTIONS ABOVE IN SECTION II WERE ANSWERED "YES," RETESTING OF THE SITE IS REQUIRED.

IF ALL OF THE QUESTIONS ABOVE IN SECTION II WERE ANSWERED "NO," GO ON TO SECTION III.

SECTION III: TEST SITE VERIFICATION

The exact location of the soils tests performed has been determined to my satisfaction by one of the following:

1. Locating the test pit and percolation hole remnants on the lot; ☐

2. The existence of recorded measurements from at least two (2) permanent landmarks establishing the test locations; ☐

3. A plan of the lot, drawn to scale, indicating the location of the tests; or, ☐

4. Identification of the exact location of the tests by the prior SEO, provided that his/her certification has not been revoked, suspended or otherwise surrendered to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). ☐

Verification of prior testing completed by

Cory M. Warner #03994

Name of SEO ☐

Cert No. ☐

Signature ☐

ACCEP TED ☐

REJECTED ☐

☐ White - Local Agency ☐ Pink - Local DEP Office ☐ Yellow - Applicant
VERIFICATION OF PRIOR TESTING

Note: Please refer to 25 Pa. Code § 72.26, Denial of Permits, before completing this form.

FOSTER
Applicant's Name
176 BRUSH VALLEY RD
Site Location
CHARLES HERR
Name of Sewage Enforcement Officer (SEO) conducting/observing prior test

COLLEGE CENTRE
Municipality
THOMAS STEPHENSON
Subdivision Name
Lot No.

Date of prior test

SECTION I: CERTIFICATION AND TEST RESULTS

1. Prior to an on-site inspection of the conditions on the property, the following must be verified:
   a. The SEO conducting or observing prior testing was certified at the time the tests were completed;
   b. The lot in question has not been cited in a decertification/suspension notice, written notice to the municipality or in any evaluation report represented to the municipality indicating improper or incorrect soils testing by prior SEOs;
   c. Test data was recorded and is available in sufficient quantity and detail to be interpreted by others in order to determine the presence of an identified limiting zone and average percolation rate.

2. Slope as listed on the original test: 3 %
   Slope as measured on site:

3. Will the isolation distances encroach on adjacent properties?

4. Is there insufficient area at the absorption site to accommodate the bed or trenches, as designed?

5. Are there any obvious changes in the lot which would make the prior tests suspect?

SECTION II: ON-SITE VERIFICATION

Yes No

6. Are there any observable conditions on the lot which would contradict the soils information provided?

7. Was the soil probe performed more than ten (10) feet from the proposed area?

8. Was the percolation test performed at a location other than the proposed area?

IF ANY OF THE QUESTIONS ABOVE IN SECTION II WERE ANSWERED "YES", RETESTING OF THE SITE IS REQUIRED.
IF ALL OF THE QUESTIONS ABOVE IN SECTION II WERE ANSWERED "NO", GO ON TO SECTION III.

SECTION III: TEST SITE VERIFICATION

The exact location of the soils tests performed has been determined to my satisfaction by one of the following:

1. Locating the test pit and percolation hole remnants on the lot;

2. The existence of recorded measurements from at least two (2) permanent landmarks establishing the test locations;

3. A plan of the lot, drawn to scale, indicating the location of the tests; or,

4. Identification of the exact location of the tests by the prior SEO, provided that his/her certification has not been revoked, suspended or otherwise surrendered to the Department of Environmental Protection (DEP).

Verification of prior testing completed by

Name of SEO

Signature

ACCEPTED

REJECTED

☐ White - Local Agency
☐ Pink - Local DEP Office
☐ Yellow - Applicant
The current project is located at the former "Rock Garden" project permitted in 2003. The "Rock Garden" project originally envisioned construction of a bed and breakfast to include two buildings with 8-10 parking spaces and 6-8 small greenhouses on the approximate 17-acre site. The "Rock Garden" project was to include a total of ten (10) bedrooms (7 bedrooms in the primary structure and 3 in a separate "carriage house"), with an estimated 1,100 gallons per day (gpd) of sewage flow based upon Chapter 73.17 figures. The estimated sewage flow equated to 2.75 EDU’s. The sewage disposal method for the "Rock Garden" project was an individual on-lot elevated sand mound which was constructed under permit number R 66596. The project site is located outside of the Centre Region’s public sewer service area and the proposed sewage disposal method is consistent with the Centre Region’s Act 537 Plan.

The "Rock Garden" project as proposed in 2003 was partially constructed, with the primary structure entailing eight (8) bedrooms and the "carriage house" was not constructed. A few of the greenhouses were constructed and most have been out of use for several years. The current equitable owner is proposing to construct a separate single family detached dwelling unit at the approximate location illustrated on the Plot Plan. Some of the remaining greenhouse structures are likely be removed as part of the proposed dwelling unit construction. The proposed dwelling unit is expected to include five (5) bedrooms with an estimated 600 gallons per day (gpd) of sewage flow based upon Chapter 73.17 figures. Additional soils suitability testing was performed on the property for an on-lot system to serve the proposed dwelling unit. To our knowledge, the residual portions of the property will remain undeveloped and not be further subdivided.

Wetland areas do not exist on the site within the limits of potential construction activity. The site contains a portion of a 100-year floodplain from Cedar Run. The project will utilize an existing public water supply.
Alternative Sewage Facilities Analysis

Sewage generated by the current proposed project is anticipated to be treated by an on-lot elevated sand mound system. The project site is located outside of the Centre Region’s public sewer service area and the proposed sewage disposal method is consistent with the Centre Region’s Act 537 Plan. The adjacent properties to the project site are residential and agricultural which also utilize on-lot systems as a means of sewage disposal and are served with public water. To the best of our knowledge, there is not a high rate of on-lot system malfunctions in the adjacent properties. Since the project site is located outside of the public sewer service area, on-lot disposal is the only method available for the site.

The equitable owners are Rachel and Thomas Foster (address and telephone number are listed on Component 2). The Foster’s will be responsible for operating and maintaining the current and proposed on-lot systems.
1. PROJECT INFORMATION

Project Name: Foster Property
Date of Review: 11/11/2020 10:16:31 AM
Project Category: Development, Residential, single-family living unit (not located within a subdivision)
Project Area: 5.25 acres
County(s): Centre
Township/Municipality(s): COLLEGE
ZIP Code: 16827
Quadrangle Name(s): STATE COLLEGE
Watersheds HUC 8: Bald Eagle
Watersheds HUC 12: Cedar Run, Spring Creek-Bald Eagle Creek
Decimal Degrees: 40.795416, -77.796973
Degrees Minutes Seconds: 40° 47' 43.4979" N, 77° 47' 49.1026" W

2. SEARCH RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PA Game Commission</td>
<td>No Known Impact</td>
<td>No Further Review Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Department of Conservation and</td>
<td>No Known Impact</td>
<td>No Further Review Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA Fish and Boat Commission</td>
<td>No Known Impact</td>
<td>No Further Review Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>No Known Impact</td>
<td>No Further Review Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As summarized above, Pennsylvania Natural Diversity Inventory (PNDI) records indicate no known impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources within the project area. Therefore, based on the information you provided, no further coordination is required with the jurisdictional agencies. This response does not reflect potential agency concerns regarding impacts to other ecological resources, such as wetlands.
3. AGENCY COMMENTS
Regardless of whether a DEP permit is necessary for this proposed project, any potential impacts to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources must be resolved with the appropriate jurisdictional agency. In some cases, a permit or authorization from the jurisdictional agency may be needed if adverse impacts to these species and habitats cannot be avoided.

These agency determinations and responses are valid for two years (from the date of the review), and are based on the project information that was provided, including the exact project location; the project type, description, and features; and any responses to questions that were generated during this search. If any of the following change: 1) project location, 2) project size or configuration, 3) project type, or 4) responses to the questions that were asked during the online review, the results of this review are not valid, and the review must be searched again via the PNDI Environmental Review Tool and resubmitted to the jurisdictional agencies. The PNDI tool is a primary screening tool, and a desktop review may reveal more or fewer impacts than what is listed on this PNDI receipt. The jurisdictional agencies strongly advise against conducting surveys for the species listed on the receipt prior to consultation with the agencies.

PA Game Commission
RESPONSE:
No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
RESPONSE:
No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

PA Fish and Boat Commission
RESPONSE:
No Impact is anticipated to threatened and endangered species and/or special concern species and resources.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
RESPONSE:
No impacts to federally listed or proposed species are anticipated. Therefore, no further consultation/coordination under the Endangered Species Act (87 Stat. 884, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. is required. Because no take of federally listed species is anticipated, none is authorized. This response does not reflect potential Fish and Wildlife Service concerns under the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act or other authorities.

4. DEP INFORMATION
The Pa Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) requires that a signed copy of this receipt, along with any required documentation from jurisdictional agencies concerning resolution of potential impacts, be submitted with applications for permits requiring PNDI review. Two review options are available to permit applicants for handling PNDI coordination in conjunction with DEP’s permit review process involving either T&E Species or species of special concern. Under sequential review, the permit applicant performs a PNDI screening and completes all coordination with the appropriate jurisdictional agencies prior to submitting the permit application. The applicant will include with its application, both a PNDI receipt and/or a clearance letter from the jurisdictional agency if the PNDI Receipt shows a Potential Impact to a species or the applicant chooses to obtain letters directly from the jurisdictional agencies. Under concurrent review, DEP, where feasible, will allow technical review of the permit to occur concurrently with the T&E species consultation with the jurisdictional agency. The applicant must still supply a copy of the PNDI Receipt with its permit application. The PNDI Receipt should also be submitted to the appropriate agency according to directions on the PNDI Receipt. The applicant and the jurisdictional agency will work together to resolve the potential impact(s). See the DEP PNDI policy at https://conservationexplorer.dcnr.pa.gov/content/resources.
5. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The PNDI environmental review website is a preliminary screening tool. There are often delays in updating species status classifications. Because the proposed status represents the best available information regarding the conservation status of the species, state jurisdictional agency staff give the proposed statuses at least the same consideration as the current legal status. If surveys or further information reveal that a threatened and endangered and/or special concern species and resources exist in your project area, contact the appropriate jurisdictional agency/agencies immediately to identify and resolve any impacts.

For a list of species known to occur in the county where your project is located, please see the species lists by county found on the PA Natural Heritage Program (PNHP) home page (www.naturalheritage.state.pa.us). Also note that the PNDI Environmental Review Tool only contains information about species occurrences that have actually been reported to the PNHP.

6. AGENCY CONTACT INFORMATION

PA Department of Conservation and Natural Resources
Bureau of Forestry, Ecological Services Section
400 Market Street, PO Box 8552
Harrisburg, PA 17105-8552
Email: RA-HeritageReview@pa.gov

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Pennsylvania Field Office
Endangered Species Section
110 Radnor Rd; Suite 101
State College, PA 16801
Email: IR1_ESPenn@fws.gov
NO Faxes Please

PA Fish and Boat Commission
Division of Environmental Services
595 E. Rolling Ridge Dr., Bellefonte, PA 16823
Email: RA-FBPACENOTIFY@pa.gov

PA Game Commission
Bureau of Wildlife Habitat Management
Division of Environmental Planning and Habitat Protection
2001 Elmerton Avenue, Harrisburg, PA 17110-9797
Email: RA-PGC_PNDI@pa.gov
NO Faxes Please

7. PROJECT CONTACT INFORMATION

Name: Matthew R. Harlow
Company/Business Name: ELA Group, Inc.
Address: 2013 Sandy Drive, Suite 103
City, State, Zip: State College, PA 16803
Phone: (814) 861-6328 Fax: (814) 861-5503
Email: mharlow@elagroup.com

8. CERTIFICATION

I certify that ALL of the project information contained in this receipt (including project location, project size/configuration, project type, answers to questions) is true, accurate and complete. In addition, if the project type, location, size or configuration changes, or if the answers to any questions that were asked during this online review change, I agree to re-do the online environmental review.

applicant/project proponent signature

November 11, 2020

Page 5 of 5
PROJECT REVIEW FORM

Request to Initiate SHPO Consultation on State and Federal Undertakings

SECTION A: PROJECT NAME & LOCATION

Is this a new submittal? ☐ YES ☐ NO OR ☐ This is additional information for ER Number:

Project Name: Foster Residence
County: Centre
Municipality: College Township
Project Address: 176 Brush Valley Road
City/State/Zip: State College PA 16801

SECTION B: CONTACT INFORMATION & MAILING ADDRESS

Name: Matthew R. Harlow
Company: ELA Group, Inc.
Street/PO Box: 2013 Sandy Drive, Suite 103
City/State/Zip: State College PA 16803
Phone: (814) 861-6328
Fax: (814) 861-5503
Email: mrharlow@elagroup.com
Email cc:

SECTION C: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project is located on:
☐ Federal property ☐ State property ☐ Municipal property ☑ Private property

List all federal and state agencies and programs providing funds, permits, licenses:
Agency Type: State
Agency/Program/Permit Name: PA DEP - Sewage Facilities Planning
Project/Permit/Tracking Number (if applicable):

Proposed Work – Attach project description, scope of work, site plans, and/or drawings

Project includes (check all that apply):
☑ Construction ☐ Demolition ☐ Rehabilitation ☐ Disposition

Total acres of project area: less than 1
Total acres of earth disturbance: less than 1

Are there any buildings or structures within the project area? ☐ Yes ☐ No
Approximate age of buildings: 17

Does this project involve properties listed in or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, or locally designated? Inventory here: https://gis.penndot.gov/crgis
Yes ☐ No ☐ Unsure ☐
Name: __________________________
Key Number: ______________________

Please email this form and pdf attachments to:
RA-PH-PASHPO-ER@pa.gov

Please be sure to save the Project Review Form so that it remains a digital document and retains its function as a fillable pdf. Do not print the form and scan as a pdf.

Attachments – Please include the following information with this form
☑ Map – 7.5’ USGS quad, streetmap, or parcel map showing the project’s Area of Potential Effect
☑ Description/Scope of Work – Narrative description of the project, including any ground disturbance and previous land use, and any potential to impact historic resources
☑ Site Plans/Drawings – Indicate location and age of buildings, any proposed improvements, and past and present land use
☐ Photographs – Digital photographs of all buildings and structures keyed to a site plan. If demolition or exterior changes are proposed to buildings more than 50 years old, please also include Abbreviated HRF

SHPO RESPONSE (SHPO USE ONLY)

☒ There are NO HISTORIC PROPERTIES in the Area of Potential Effect
☒ The project will have NO EFFECT on historic properties
☐ The project will have NO ADVERSE EFFECTS on historic properties:

DIVISION CHIEF, ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: __________________________

SHPO REVIEWER: CN/SC
DATE: 11/23/20
INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COMPONENT 4A
MUNICIPAL PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW

Remove and recycle these instructions prior to mailing component to the approving agency.

Background

This component, Component 4, is used to obtain the comments of planning agencies and/or health departments having jurisdiction over the project area. It is used in conjunction with other planning module components appropriate to the characteristics of the project proposed.

Who Should Complete the Component?

The component should be completed by any existing municipal planning agency, county planning agency, planning agency with areawide jurisdiction, and/or health department having jurisdiction over the project site. It is divided into sections to allow for convenient use by the appropriate agencies.

The project sponsor must forward copies of this component, along with supporting components and data, to the appropriate planning agency(ies) and health department(s) (if any) having jurisdiction over the development site. These agencies are responsible for responding to the questions in their respective sections of Component 4, as well as providing whatever additional comments they may wish to provide on the project plan. After the agencies have completed their review, the component will be returned to the applicant. The agencies have 60 days in which to provide comments to the applicant. If the agencies fail to comment within this 60 day period, the applicant may proceed to the next stage of the review without the comments. The use of registered mail or certified mail (return receipt requested) by the applicant when forwarding the module package to the agencies will document a date of receipt.

After receipt of the completed Component 4 from the planning agencies, or following expiration of the 60 day period without comments, the applicant must submit the entire component package to the municipality having jurisdiction over the project area for review and action. If approved by the municipality, the proposed plan, along with the municipal action, will be forwarded to the approving agency (Department of Environmental Protection or delegated local agency). The approving agency, in turn, will either approve the proposed plan, return it as incomplete, or disapprove the plan, based upon the information provided.

Instructions for Completing Planning Agency and/or Health Department Review Component

Section A. Project Name

Enter the project name as it appears on the accompanying sewage facilities planning module component (Component 2, 2m, 3, 3s or 3m).

Section B. Review Schedule

Enter the date the package was received by the reviewing agency, and the date that the review was completed.

Section C. Agency Review

1. Answer the yes/no questions and provide any descriptive information necessary on the lines provided. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.

2. Complete the name, title, and signature block.

Section D. Additional Comments

The Agency may provide whatever additional comment(s) it deems necessary, as described in the form. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.
**SECTION A. PROJECT NAME**

Project Name
Rachel and Thomas Foster Living Trust Property

**SECTION B. REVIEW SCHEDULE**

1. Date plan received by municipal planning agency  **December 28, 2020**
2. Date review completed by agency  **January 14, 2021**

**SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Is there a municipal comprehensive plan adopted under the Municipalities Planning Code (53 P.S. 10101, et seq.)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Is this proposal consistent with the comprehensive plan for land use?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Is this proposal consistent with the use, development, and protection of water resources?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Is this proposal consistent with municipal land use planning relative to Prime Agricultural Land Preservation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Does this project propose encroachments, obstructions, or dams that will affect wetlands?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Will any known historical or archaeological resources be impacted by this project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Will any known endangered or threatened species of plant or animal be impacted by this project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Is there a municipal zoning ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Is this proposal consistent with the ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Does the proposal require a change or variance to an existing comprehensive plan or zoning ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Have all applicable zoning approvals been obtained?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Is there a municipal subdivision and land development ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Is this proposal consistent with the ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe the inconsistencies We have not received an officially submitted plan, therefore, we cannot confirm the plans are consistent.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14. Is this plan consistent with the municipal Official Sewage Facilities Plan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe the inconsistencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. Are there any wastewater disposal needs in the area adjacent to this proposal that should be considered by the municipality?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, describe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16. Has a waiver of the sewage facilities planning requirements been requested for the residual tract of this subdivision?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, is the proposed waiver consistent with applicable ordinances?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe the inconsistencies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Name, title and signature of planning agency staff member completing this section:
   Name: Lindsay K. Schoch
   Title: Principal Planner
   Signature: [Signature]
   Date: January 14, 2021

   Name of Municipal Planning Agency: College Township Planning & Zoning Department
   Address: 1481 East College Avenue, State College, PA 16801
   Telephone Number: (814) 231-3021

SECTION D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (See Section D of instructions)

This component does not limit municipal planning agencies from making additional comments concerning the relevancy of the proposed plan to other plans or ordinances. If additional comments are needed, attach additional sheets.

The planning agency must complete this component within 60 days.

This component and any additional comments are to be returned to the applicant.
INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING COMPONENT 4B
COUNTY PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW
(or Planning Agency with Areawide Jurisdiction)

Remove and recycle these instructions prior to mailing component to the approving agency.

Background

This component, Component 4, is used to obtain the comments of planning agencies and/or health departments having jurisdiction over the project area. It is used in conjunction with other planning module components appropriate to the characteristics of the project proposed.

Who Should Complete the Component?

The component should be completed by any existing municipal planning agency, county planning agency, planning agency with areawide jurisdiction, and/or health department having jurisdiction over the project site. It is divided into sections to allow for convenient use by the appropriate agencies.

The project sponsor must forward copies of this component, along with supporting components and data, to the appropriate planning agency(ies) and health department(s) (if any) having jurisdiction over the development site. These agencies are responsible for responding to the questions in their respective sections of Component 4, as well as providing whatever additional comments they may wish to provide on the project plan. After the agencies have completed their review, the component will be returned to the applicant. The agencies have 60 days in which to provide comments to the applicant. If the agencies fail to comment within this 60 day period, the applicant may proceed to the next stage of the review without the comments. The use of registered mail or certified mail (return receipt requested) by the applicant when forwarding the module package to the agencies will document a date of receipt.

After receipt of the completed Component 4 from the planning agencies, or following expiration of the 60 day period without comments, the applicant must submit the entire component package to the municipality having jurisdiction over the project area for review and action. If approved by the municipality, the proposed plan, along with the municipal action, will be forwarded to the approving agency (Department of Environmental Protection or delegated local agency). The approving agency, in turn, will either approve the proposed plan, return it as incomplete, or disapprove the plan, based upon the information provided.

Instructions for Completing Planning Agency and/or Health Department Review Component

Section A. Project Name

Enter the project name as it appears on the accompanying sewage facilities planning module component (Component 2, 3, 3s or 3m).

Section B. Review Schedule

Enter the date the package was received by the reviewing agency, and the date that the review was completed.

Section C. Agency Review

1. Answer the yes/no questions and provide any descriptive information necessary on the lines provided. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.

2. Complete the name, title, and signature block.

Section D. Additional Comments

The Agency may provide whatever additional comment(s) it deems necessary, as described in the form. Attach additional sheets, if necessary.
SEWAGE FACILITIES PLANNING MODULE
COMPONENT 4B - COUNTY PLANNING AGENCY REVIEW
(or Planning Agency with Areawide Jurisdiction)

Note to Project Sponsor: To expedite the review of your proposal, one copy of your completed planning package and one copy of this Planning Agency Review Component should be sent to the county planning agency or planning agency with areawide jurisdiction for their comments.

SECTION A. PROJECT NAME (See Section A of instructions)

Project Name
Rachel and Thomas Foster Property

SECTION B. REVIEW SCHEDULE (See Section B of instructions)

1. Date plan received by county planning agency 12/28/2020
2. Date plan received by planning agency with areawide jurisdiction 12/28/2020
   Agency name Centre Region Planning Agency
3. Date review completed by agency 1/5/2021

SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (See Section C of instructions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a county or areawide comprehensive plan adopted under the Municipalities Planning Code (53 P.S. 10101 et seq.)? (Centre Region Comprehensive Plan)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this proposal consistent with the comprehensive plan for land use?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does this proposal meet the goals and objectives of the plan?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe goals and objectives that are not met ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this proposal consistent with the use, development, and protection of water resources?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe inconsistency ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is this proposal consistent with the county or areawide comprehensive land use planning relative to Prime Agricultural Land Preservation?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe inconsistencies: ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does this project propose encroachments, obstructions, or dams that will affect wetlands?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, describe impact ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will any known historical or archeological resources be impacted by this project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, describe impacts ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Will any known endangered or threatened species of plant or animal be impacted by the development project?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If yes, describe impacts ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is there a county or areawide zoning ordinance?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does this proposal meet the zoning requirements of the ordinance? Not Applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If no, describe inconsistencies ________________________________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### SECTION C. AGENCY REVIEW (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ☐   | ☐  | 11. Have all applicable zoning approvals been obtained? **Not Applicable**
| ☒   | ☐  | 12. Is there a county or areawide subdivision and land development ordinance?
| ☐   | ☒  | 13. Does this proposal meet the requirements of the ordinance? **Not Applicable. Municipal Ordinance Supersedes**

If no, describe which requirements are not met __________________________

| ☒   | ☐  | 14. Is this proposal consistent with the municipal Official Sewage Facilities Plan?

If no, describe inconsistency __________________________

| ☐   | ☒  | 15. Are there any wastewater disposal needs in the area adjacent to this proposal that should be considered by the municipality?

If yes, describe __________________________

| ☐   | ☒  | 16. Has a waiver of the sewage facilities planning requirements been requested for the residual tract of this subdivision?

If yes, is the proposed waiver consistent with applicable ordinances.

If no, describe the inconsistencies __________________________

| ☐   | ☒  | 17. Does the county have a stormwater management plan as required by the Stormwater Management Act? **Spring Creek Watershed Plan**

If yes, will this project plan require the implementation of storm water management measures?

#### 18. Name, Title and signature of person completing this section:

**Name:** Mark Boeckel

**Title:** Principal Planner

**Signature:** __________________________

**Date:** January 5, 2021

**Name of County or Areawide Planning Agency:** Centre Regional Planning Agency

**Address:** 2643 Gateway Drive, Suite 4, State College, PA 16801

**Telephone Number:** (814) 231-3050

### SECTION D. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (See Section D of instructions)

This component does not limit county planning agencies from making additional comments concerning the relevancy of the proposed plan to other plans or ordinances. If additional comments are needed, attach additional sheets.

The county planning agency must complete this component within 60 days.

This component and any additional comments are to be returned to the applicant.